PROMOTION AND TENURE GUIDELINES OF THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

General Criteria

This policy is to complement the Mississippi State University Promotion and Tenure Policies as stated in Section V of the *Faculty Handbook* (2022). The College of Education (COE) promotion and tenure guidelines serve as the framework for the more specific departmental policies. These guidelines were developed in accordance with the University Academic Promotion and Tenure policies and procedures. The COE guidelines supplement, but do not supplant, the university policies and procedures.

These guidelines for promotion and tenure are intended to convey in general terms the expectations for faculty who are applying for promotion and/or tenure. Professional-track positions include Teaching Professors, Professors of Practice, Clinical/Extension/Research Professors, and Instructors. All tenure-track and professional-track positions include three associated ranks (i.e., 1, 2, and 3). These positions and corresponding ranks are described below.

These should be considered general guidelines, rather than rigid and exact criteria. Each department and academic unit within the COE must develop specific criteria for promotion and tenure that expand upon and are consistent with university and COE guidelines.

It should be recognized that standards of performance and application criteria can and should be revised periodically in accordance with evolving academic developments and changing institutional expectations, so long as such changes take place through established procedures and processes. Over time, performance standards have risen, and it is likely that standards will, with faculty support and approval, continue to increase as the faculty continue to pursue excellence in the areas of teaching, research, scholarly works and creative achievements, and service.

The guidelines in force at the time the applicant was hired in the COE shall be the basis for the decision for the individual's application for promotion and/or tenure. If the faculty member is awarded promotion and/or tenure to Rank 2 (e.g., Associate Professor, Associate Teaching/Clinical Professor, Associate Professor of Practice, or Instructor 2), criteria for promotion to Rank 3 (e.g., Professor, Teaching/Clinical Professor, Professor of Practice, or Instructor 3) should be made in accordance with guidelines in force at the time the applicant assumes the position.

Academic Rank

A faculty member of professorial rank must have a professional or terminal degree appropriate to the discipline (or the equivalent in training and experience), a strong commitment to higher education and to the mission of the COE and Mississippi State University, and a willingness to assume the responsibilities and obligations appropriate to a university faculty member. As noted below, faculty tracks at Mississippi State University include tenure-track positions and professional-track positions.

Tenure-Track Positions

Assistant Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member who has met the requirements in the previous paragraph and has the potential to be successful in the areas of teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service.

Associate Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant professor, who has consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at a satisfactory level in teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, and who excels in at least one of these areas. Based upon the criteria established in the department promotion and tenure documents, an associate professor is developing a national and/or international reputation and is showing a potential for making sustained contributions to the university and to their profession, field, or discipline.

Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate professor, who has consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at a satisfactory level in teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, and who excels in at least two of these areas. Based upon the criteria established in the department promotion and tenure documents, a professor must have a national and/or international reputation within their profession, area of expertise, or discipline.

Professional-Track Positions

Teaching Professor Ranks:

 Assistant Teaching Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in a discipline appropriate for the position, who possesses the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Associate Teaching Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant teaching professor, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Teaching Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate teaching professor, has consistently demonstrated excellence in instructional activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Professor of Practice Ranks:

Assistant Professor of Practice (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in a discipline appropriate for the position or its equivalent in professional achievement, who possesses the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a

university environment, and who should contribute to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Associate Professor of Practice (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant professor of practice, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Professor of Practice (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate professor of practice, has consistently demonstrated excellence in instructional activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension/Research Professor Ranks:

* Some Extension and Research positions are tenure-track. Faculty holding a tenure-track Extension or Research position should refer to the tenure-track guidance above.

 Assistant Clinical/Extension/Research Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in the discipline, who possesses the potential for successful performance in clinical/extension/research activities or creative achievement in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

Associate Clinical/Extension/Research Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant clinical/extension/research professor, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in clinical/extension/research activities or creative achievement, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or professions.

Clinical/Extension/Research Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has consistently demonstrated excellence in clinical/extension/research activities or creative endeavors, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Instructor Ranks:

 Instructor I (Rank 1): A faculty member with a minimum of a master's degree or higher, who possesses teaching credentials appropriate for the position and the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Instructor II (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Instructor I, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Instructor III (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Instructor II, has consistently demonstrated excellence, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension Instructor Ranks:

Clinical/Extension Instructor I (Rank 1): A faculty member with a minimum of a master's degree or higher as appropriate to the profession, in a discipline appropriate for the position, who possesses the potential for successful performance in clinical/extension activities or creative achievement in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension Instructor II (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Clinical/Extension Instructor I, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in clinical/extension activities, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension Instructor III (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Clinical/Extension Instructor II, has demonstrated excellence in clinical/extension activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

_

Probationary Period and Eligibility

A tenure-track faculty member must apply for tenure by October 1st of their 6th contract year, unless otherwise specified in their offer letter. Failure to earn tenure at the end of the sixth full contract year by the President will result in a terminal contract in the seventh full contract year. The probationary period for tenure-track faculty begins at the start of the faculty member's first full contract year. A full contract year is defined as one that starts on August 16 for 9-month employees and on July 1 for 12-month employees and continues until the next contract period. If the initial contract is for a partial year, e.g., starts after August 16 for a 9-month employee or after July 1 for a 12-month employee, that time is not included in the probationary period. Up to five years of professorial experience at other universities may be counted in this probationary period, as determined and agreed upon by the department promotion and tenure committee, the Department Head or Director, the Dean, and the faculty member in the letter of offer at the time of initial appointment.

Consideration for promotion and/or tenure can be initiated by the Department Head or by the individual faculty member who has met the minimum requirements. The appropriate departmental, as well as the COE, promotion and tenure committees will base its decisions on all available, pertinent, and documented evidence. It is the responsibility of the applicant to document claims for satisfactory or excellent ratings in teaching, research, and/or service.

For clearly stated personal reasons (e.g., emergencies related to health, activation of military service, pregnancy, adoption, childcare, care of parents), a tenure-track faculty member may request an extension of up to two years from the first five years of this probationary period for an

approved leave of absence or a modified assignment. Specific aspects of such an extension must be established by the Department Head or Director, the Dean, the Provost, and the faculty member. Such an agreement must be in writing. The department promotion and tenure committee shall be notified in writing of the extension and the revised probationary period.

IHL Board Policy 403.0101 allows an administrative employee who held faculty rank and tenure at another institution to be awarded tenure at the time of initial appointment upon the recommendation of the faculty of the tenuring department, the Dean, the Provost, and the President, and awarded by the IHL board.

For tenure-track faculty members with a shortened probationary period as specified in an offer letter or an approved extended probationary period, the "third-year review" should be held at the midpoint of the individual's probationary period.

Relationship Between Promotion and Tenure

Tenure-track faculty members who have met the requirements for promotion, but who have not fulfilled the probationary period for tenure, may be promoted without tenure. Tenure-track faculty members who are granted tenure as Assistant Professors automatically meet the criteria for promotion to Associate Professor.

Faculty Workloads in Relationship to Expectations for Promotion and Tenure

In reviewing an individual's accomplishments, especially with respect to research and creative achievements, departmental and the COE Promotion and Tenure committees should consider faculty workload. Faculty workload usually relies upon what has become the nationally accepted norm for universities with primarily a baccalaureate mission, which is based on the equivalent of four courses per semester or approximately twelve credit hours. The number of courses that faculty members are expected to teach may vary depending upon the level of degrees offered by the department, the size of the classes being taught, the rank of the faculty member, the experience of a faculty member, the number of preparations, number of graduate students, the development of new courses or other curricula, and the demands of the individual faculty member may be made in any semester depending upon the activity of that faculty member and/or the needs of the program at that time.

Tenure

Attainment of tenure in the COE at Mississippi State University is by no means automatically based on years of service but is the result of an objective evaluation of a faculty member's activities in research, scholarly activity and creative achievements, instruction, and service to the university. It is understood that a minimum of excellence in research/creative achievement or teaching, with satisfactory in all other areas are needed in order to qualify a faculty member for tenure.

Tenure is granted with the university's expectation that the faculty member will continue to perform at or above the minimum standards set by the department, school, or college, and

university. The proportions of these activities will vary by discipline. The requirements for granting an individual tenure in the COE are identical to those for promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor. For most faculty members, consideration of promotion and tenure to Associate Professor will be done simultaneously. For those individuals hired at the rank of either Associate Professor or Professor, a tenure decision will be based on performance expected of one at that academic rank.

Promotion

Promotion is never granted routinely for simple satisfactory performance or for length of service but reflects progressively higher professional competence and accomplishment. No specific term in any rank is required for promotion to the next, but a reasonable time must elapse for the faculty member to demonstrate sustained productivity and have it confirmed by annual evaluations. Rank should reflect comparable stature with others in similar disciplines in other university settings. Professional achievement at another academic institution may be considered for promotion.

Progress Towards Tenure and Mid-Term Review

The faculty member shall be advised and counseled annually by the Department Head concerning his/her progress toward tenure. Non-tenured faculty also will be evaluated for progress toward tenure by the department promotion and tenure committee during the probationary period. Normally this will occur at the end of three years of service to Mississippi State University and/or one year before the earliest application for tenure can be initiated, whichever is more appropriate. Each department or academic unit must develop procedures and guidelines for the mid-term review. The promotion and tenure committee will discuss the materials, and the chair will summarize the opinions of the committee in letter to the applicant outlining both strengths and areas for improvement in the categories of research, teaching, and service. The letter will indicate whether the committee considers that the applicant is making satisfactory progress toward tenure. Copies of the letter will be provided to the applicant and to the Department Head who will place a copy in the applicant's personnel file.

General Performance Standards and Evaluation of Professional Activities

Every faculty member is expected to meet high standards of professional competence, integrity, and collegiality and to further the goals of his/her department or unit. In every case, a faculty member's performance in teaching, research/creative achievement (if applicable), and service will be judged by all parties for promotion and/or tenure decisions on the basis of specific criteria in written policy statements, developed by the appropriate academic units. It is important to note that positions will be evaluated on different domains of performance standards dependent upon responsibilities. All criteria should be based on the application of the highest professional standards and must be consistent with the university standards and all the following COE criteria:

Teaching includes regular classroom and laboratory instruction; supervision of field work, internships, performances, and fellowships; direction of theses and dissertations; development of

educational materials including books and websites, including materials developed with educational grants; and conduct of other academic programs that confer university credit; and presentation of non-credit and off-campus public lectures and demonstration, and other teaching activities that could be defined by the academic units. Excellence in teaching includes the ability to impart the knowledge, methods, and standards of the discipline, the ability to communicate effectively with students by counseling, advising, or motivating them, the ability to direct students in their own research, and the ability to evaluate student work accurately and fairly according to prevailing academic standards of the discipline. Excellence in teaching may be documented by peer reviews, student evaluations, sample course materials, graded student work, recordings of teaching sessions, graduate student theses and dissertations, and any other documentary materials that demonstrate teaching effectiveness.

Research, which also includes scholarly activity and/or creative achievement, includes systematic, original investigation directed toward the enlargement or validation of human knowledge, the solution of contemporary problems, or the exploration of creative forms that bring greater meaning to life. Excellence in research or creative achievement must be established by critical peer evaluation, using standards prevailing in the discipline. Excellence may be documented by books, articles, or reviews published by commercial or university presses or in refereed journals of international, national, or regional prestige; research grants, leading to high quality research; presentation of papers before professional groups; invited participation in scholarly conferences; editorial work for professional journals or publishers; or artistic or humanistic performances, presentations, or shows. Evidence of substantive progress on long-term projects that meet the criteria above may be considered as specified by the academic units.

Service includes activities which enhance the scholarly life of the university or the discipline, improve the quality of life or society, or promote the general welfare of the institution, the community, the state, or the nation. Thus, it includes extension of academic knowledge to the public, participation on departmental, college, or university committees, or on regional, national, or international scholarly committees, boards, or review panels, or on public boards as a representative of the scholarly community. Membership or participation in professional organizations may constitute satisfactory service, but excellence requires leadership or initiative leading to substantial improvement or progress.

Professional-track faculty who are not in instructional tracks may be required to perform research and/or creative achievement activities. Criteria for assessing research and/or creative activities may include systematic, original investigation directed toward the enlargement or validation of human knowledge, the solution of contemporary problems, or the exploration of creative forms that bring greater meaning to life. Excellence in research and/or creative achievement must be established by critical peer evaluation, using standards prevailing in the discipline. Excellence may be documented by books, articles, or reviews published by commercial or university presses or in refereed journals of international, national, or regional prestige; research grants, leading to high quality research, intellectual property; presentation of papers before professional groups; invited participation in scholarly conferences; editorial work for professional journals or publishers; or artistic or humanistic performances, presentations, or shows. Evidence of substantive progress on long-term projects that meet the criteria above may be considered as specified by the academic units.

Specific Performance Standards and Evaluation of Professional Activities: <u>Promotion from Rank 1 to Rank 2</u>:

For promotion from Rank 1 to Rank 2, the applicant should be developing a national reputation in their field of scholarly activity. With regard to the applicant's record, minimum ratings of satisfactory in research/creative achievement (if applicable), teaching, and service, as well as excellence in either research/creative achievement (if applicable) or teaching are needed.

From the standpoint of research and creative achievement (if applicable), an individual must initiate an active program of research, scholarly activity and creative achievement at Mississippi State University. Demonstration of productivity may be based on publications in peer-reviewed national and international journals of high quality and standards, presentation of research findings at regional, national, and international meetings, research proposals submitted and funded, and recruiting and direction of graduate student research. In accordance with university guidelines, editorial work for scholarly or professional journals and texts will be considered as part of the applicant's research record. No set number of published educational, research or scientific articles form the basis for an excellent rating, but consistent productivity should be apparent. Demonstration of productivity also may be based on creative achievement. Each department within the COE must set more specific guidelines with regard to research, scholarly activity and creative achievements. Departments also should develop listings of top-tier and lower-tier educational, research and scientific journals. Although it is not expected that all scholarly work be published in top-tier journals, some work should be published in such journals.

Credit for "authorship" is not dependent upon the order or author credits. For example, a coauthor of a refereed manuscript in a scholarly journal receives no more or less credit than does a sole author. However, it is reasonable for those reviewing the promotion and tenure package to consider the extent of the contribution of an individual author when numerous (ex. four or more) authors receive credit for a work. The applicant may provide an explanation of their role on the project when a large number of authors are included on a publication.

From the standpoint of teaching, there should be a clear demonstration of quality teaching. The validation of quality teaching may include letters from former students, submission of student course evaluations, student interviews conducted by the committee, reviews of course content and lecture presentations by peers, committee members and Department Head, and graduate student theses. The applicant may submit course outlines and syllabi as well as the most recent exams or other methods of assessment that are used to examine students' knowledge and understanding of the course material in each course. If the applicant chooses to submit student course evaluations, it is understood that evaluations of all undergraduate and graduate courses will be requested for a period of time specified by the committee and Department Head. Additional activities might include course revisions, developing new courses and innovative teaching methods, revising and developing laboratory exercises and writing laboratory manuals. Student advising is a vital part of our academic programs in the COE and will be recognized as part of an individual's teaching activities.

All faculty members are expected to serve on departmental, college and university committees in an effective and professional manner. Such contributions and conduct will constitute a satisfactory rating in the service category. Service rendered to professional organizations, such as

manuscript review, is important relative to professional growth and development and will be considered as part of research activities. However, service to professional organizations, such as membership on committees and boards (excluding editorial/referee work) can be considered as part of the faculty member's service.

Specific Performance Standards and Evaluation of Professional Activities: <u>Promotion from</u> Rank 2 to Rank 3:

For promotion to Rank 3, substantial growth on the part of the faculty member beyond the level that qualified him/her for promotion to Rank 2 must be clearly evident, and the applicant should have a national and/or international (if applicable) reputation in their field of scholarly activity. Promotion from Rank 2 to Rank 3 will require minimum ratings of satisfactory in research/creative achievement (if applicable), teaching, and service, as well as excellence in at least two of these areas. Usually, these two areas would be research/creative achievement (if applicable) and teaching; however, in some cases promotion to Rank 3 may be granted for excellence in service and either research/creative achievement (if applicable) or teaching. In these cases, the applicant's record must include excellence in service to their department, college and the university, as well as service external to the university that is especially meritorious.

The scholarly activities/creative achievements of an individual being considered for promotion to Professor should be represented by an established research program with demonstrated continuous productivity and recognition of this program on a national and international level. Such recognition could include organizing symposia and presenting invited lectures at national and international meetings, presenting invited seminars at research universities, contributing invited chapters in books and symposium volumes, holding offices or committee memberships in scientific societies, editorships, reviewing journal manuscripts and research proposals, and awards received based on research activities. The individual must have an outstanding publication record in peer-reviewed journals of high quality and standards and should have a record of having directed the research of graduate students within their respective department and/or having on served on thesis and dissertation committees across the university. In some departments of the COE, an outstanding record of creative achievement is expected.

Credit for "authorship" is not dependent upon the order or author credits. For example, a coauthor of a refereed manuscript in a scholarly journal receives no more or less credit than does a sole author. However, it is reasonable for those reviewing the promotion and tenure package to consider the extent of the contribution of an individual author when numerous (*ex.* four or more) authors receive credit for a work. The applicant may provide an explanation of their role on the project when a large number of authors are included on a publication.

In the area of teaching, the emphasis will be on quality. There should be a clear demonstration of outstanding teaching associated with scholarly achievement. This could involve writing textbooks, course revisions, developing new courses, innovative teaching methods, revising and developing laboratory exercises and writing laboratory manuals. Other criteria, as detailed above for promotion to Rank 2, will be used in the committee's rating of the applicant's teaching abilities.

 Service on departmental, college and university committees should involve some substantial contributions on the part of the applicant for a satisfactory rating in the service category. Service to an individual's professional societies (editorships, review of journal manuscripts and research proposals) will be important in the total evaluation of the applicant's research record when promotion to Rank 3 is sought. Service to professional organizations, such as membership on committees and boards, excluding editorial/referee work, should be considered as part of the faculty member's service.

External Letters of Review

The applicant for promotion and tenure should inform their Department Head of their intention to apply for promotion and/or tenure. The applicant should do so in a timely manner, so that there is adequate time to obtain letters of external review.

External letters will be solicited from professionals in the field who can provide an impartial evaluation of the candidate's work and accomplishments.

In the case of professorial tracks, external reviewers should be faculty at peer to peer-plus institutions, or peer to peer-plus departments. In the case of instructor tracks, external reviewers must be external to the department, but may be internal or external to the university. External faculty reviewers should not include individuals who have a professional or personal conflict-of-interest with the candidate. Conflicts-of-interest in general would include but not necessarily be restricted to previous mentors, previous graduate students, collaborating co-authors, collaborating co-investigators, or relatives/past-relatives. In disciplines or fields where the general conflict-of-interest definition commonly does not apply, external reviewers normally excluded from the process can be utilized if complete and adequate justification is provided.

The candidate, the department promotion and tenure committee, and Department Head will each provide a list of names that will be used to create a master list of potential external reviewers. The Department Head and departmental promotion and tenure committee chair will jointly select the final list of external reviewers from whom letters of evaluation will be requested and should include faculty names provided by all three sources. Both the Department Head and department promotion and tenure committee chair are responsible for eliminating, to the best of their knowledge, all external reviewers that have a conflict-of-interest.

 Dossiers must contain an explanation of the credentials and qualifications of each external reviewer regarding their training/background (i.e., curriculum vita) in addition to the extent of their contact, interaction or relationship with the candidate. External letters of evaluation must be received from a minimum of four external reviewers for inclusion in the dossier of the candidate. It is the responsibility of the chair of the department committee or the Department Head to obtain at least the minimum number of letters of evaluation from external reviewers who have agreed to function in this capacity. All letters received from external reviewers must be included in the dossier of the candidate unless the Department Head and departmental promotion and tenure committee collectively decide to withdraw a letter from the review process if it contains information that refers to or describes a conflict-of-interest. In instances when substantial

modifications of the application have occurred (e.g., official notifications of accepted publications or awarded grants) after documentation has been forwarded to the external reviewers, these achievements can be communicated in a letter written by the candidate and forwarded to the Department Head. The letter should be included in the section of the dossier containing the external letters of review.

The identity of the external reviewers will not be revealed to the candidate and communications must not include any information that might indicate the identity or location of any external reviewer. Exceptions may include situations as may be required by law or ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction. The specific procedures regarding solicitation and use of external letters of evaluation are to be detailed in department policies.

480 481 482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

470

471

472

473

474 475 476

477

478

479

The department head will arrange for the applicant's credentials to be evaluated by external reviewers prior to the date by which the promotion and tenure application must be submitted to the Department Head. The external reviewers will be made aware of the applicant's teaching and service responsibilities so that a fair judgment may be rendered relative to the program and environment in the COE and the department of the applicant at Mississippi State University. The applicant will be asked to include up to five (5) reprints of his/her most significant publications and/or creative achievements (if applicable) to be sent to the external reviewers. External reviews should be returned to the department head, who will add them to the promotion and/or tenure application. It is expected that four to six letters be included with the promotion and/or tenure portfolio, but all external reviews that are received must be included. At least four letters of external review should be included in the applicant's promotion package. The external reviews will become a permanent part of the applicant's promotion packet.

493 494 495

Promotion and Tenure Committees

496 497 498

499

College of Education Promotion and Tenure Committee

500 501 Membership of the COE committee should reflect the composition of the full-time faculty in the college.

502 503 504

505

506

507

508

509

510

A minimum of 12 members (two from each department) with at least three years of service as a faculty member at Mississippi State University are to be elected to staggered two-year terms by majority vote of all faculty members of their department by September 1, and one member is to be appointed annually by the Dean. As faculty titles are added, each department may choose to elect an additional appropriate representative to serve on the COE Committee. At least one member from each department must be a tenured full professor, so that 6 tenured professors serve on the COE committee. If a department does not have a full tenured professor, then that department will still elect two members; however, both will be at Rank 2. The COE Committee must maintain a minimum of three tenured professors.

511 512 513

514

515

All faculty members on the committee with appropriate rank will vote on promotion to Rank 2 or Rank 3; however, only tenured faculty members on the committee vote on the tenure decision. When a candidate is being considered for promotion to associate professor or to professor and

11

for tenure at the same time, any non-agreement of the promotion vote, and the tenure vote will be resolved by vote of only the tenured faculty members of the committee.

Each spring semester, the COE will hold elections for the College Promotion and Tenure Committee and will make known the membership of the committee to the faculty. Faculty cannot serve on both departmental and COE Promotion and Tenure Committees simultaneously, and faculty cannot serve on departmental or college Promotion and Tenure committees during a year in which they are applying for either tenure or promotion. Members can observe the proceedings of the Departmental Promotion and Tenure Committee but cannot participate nor vote in a candidates' promotion or tenure review at the department level. No member of the committee will consider the application of a relative. Any appearance of conflicts of interest should be avoided. No faculty member functioning as an administrator, Department Head or Director, of an academic unit will be a member of the committee. Members cannot serve consecutive terms unless this would leave the department without representation. (If a faculty member serves one year on a COE promotion and tenure committee and cannot serve the next year because he/she is applying for promotion, he/she can be elected to a new two-year term the following year. A faculty member who serves out the term of another faculty member may be elected to serve a two-year term beginning the following year).

The responsibilities of the COE Promotion and Tenure Committee will be the following:

• To write the COE's promotion and tenure policies and procedures which must be consistent with university promotion and tenure policies, include the mechanism for their adoption and revision, describe the procedures that will be followed if sufficient numbers of members are not available because of absences, recusal or insufficient rank, and identify the participation of the different categories of faculty in the college promotion and tenure process;

• To approve the promotion and tenure documents (and all subsequent changes) of departmental committees within the COE and to ensure that such documents are consistent with the mission of the university and the COE, and the university promotion and tenure document;

• To assist departments in developing procedures for a third-year review of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty;

• To assist departments in developing criteria for external peer reviews, including the identification of peer departments or schools at other colleges or universities;

• To assist departments in developing definitions of excellence, satisfactory, and unsatisfactory as it pertains to the evaluation of candidates for promotion and tenure;

• To assist departments in developing definitions of teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service consistent with the mission of the department or school;

 To conduct a vote on all dossiers for promotion and tenure, ensuring department standards are fairly applied and university standards are maintained; and

The committee will serve as an advisory body to the Dean. In that capacity, the committee will review all recommendations transmitted from departmental promotion and tenure committees and from Department Heads. The Dean will make available to the appropriate COE committee members all pertinent and available information. All committee members are responsible for individually reviewing all such information, which will be securely electronically stored, before voting on any application for promotion and tenure. The committee will meet and, by majority vote, make its recommendations, with reasons, to the Dean who will respond, in writing, to the chair of the committee, indicating any future action to be taken, with accompanying reasons.

Additionally, the COE Promotion and Tenure committee will review all criteria, policies, and procedures followed by the COE and by individual departments on an annual basis to ensure that they are equitable and effective. This committee will also review any proposed changes in departmental promotion and tenure guidelines and must approve of any changes before they can take effect. The chair of the committee should be a Rank 3 tenured faculty member.

During the final College Promotion and Tenure Committee meeting in the spring semester, the committee will annually elect the chair and secretary for the upcoming year. The following year, the chair will call the initial meeting of the academic year no later than October. Otherwise, this responsibility will fall upon the member having the longest continuous service to the committee and (in case of a tie) to the college. At the initial meeting of the academic year, a new chair and secretary will be elected for the next year. The new members will be briefed at the initial meeting on procedural matters, and any other necessary business will be conducted.

A request for modification of the COE promotion and tenure policy may be initiated by the Dean, the COE Promotion and Tenure Committee, and/or individual faculty members. Such requests will be considered by the COE Promotion and Tenure Committee. The committee recommendations will then be forwarded to the Dean and placed before the full faculty for final approval.

Departmental Committees:

Every department within the COE must have a promotion and tenure committee. The department committee may include any faculty track. The promotion and tenure procedures must specify the inclusiveness of the committee composition and clearly establish the eligibility for voting and participation within the department promotion and tenure process. In departments where there may be professional-track faculty of rank serving on department committees along with tenured faculty, it is permissible for all faculty members on the committee of appropriate rank to vote on promotion to Rank 2 or to Rank 3. Only tenured faculty members on the committee can vote on the tenure decision. When a candidate is being considered for promotion to associate professor or to professor and for tenure at the same time, any non-agreement of the promotion vote, and the tenure vote will be resolved by vote of only the tenured faculty members on the committee.

The faculty of each school or department will determine the structure of its promotion and tenure committee, subject to the conditions that:

A minimum of three tenured faculty must be available to vote on tenure decisions; Committee members must hold a rank at or above the candidate's aspirant rank to vote on each case. Unless a unit uses a committee-of-the whole (which is highly encouraged), the members of the committee must be elected. The length of terms will be determined by the unit; No member of the committee will consider the application of a relative. Appearance of conflicts of interest should be avoided; No faculty member functioning as an administrator, department head or director of an academic unit will be a member of the committee; A faculty member serving on the college promotion and tenure committee may observe but neither participate nor vote in a candidate's promotion or tenure review at the department level. The committee will annually elect its chair; The membership of the committee will be made known to the faculty; and An individual will not serve in a year that their promotion application is being considered. Among the responsibilities of the department promotion and tenure committee are the following: • To establish procedures for a third-year review of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty; • To specify a mandatory date by which candidates must notify the Department Head of their intent to submit an application for tenure and/or promotion; To specify criteria for external peer reviews, including the identification of peer departments or schools at other colleges or universities; • To facilitate all votes related to the promotion and tenure process, including the vote to approve the original promotion and tenure document and policies and all subsequent changes; • To conduct a review by the end of the third year of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty; and • To conduct a vote on all dossiers for promotion and tenure.

Every department will write a promotion and tenure document, which is approved by a majority vote of the full-time faculty. In comprehensive departments both tenured/tenure-track and professional-track faculty, the promotion section of the document will be approved by a majority vote of the full-time faculty, while the tenure section of the document will be approved by a majority vote of the tenured and tenure-track faculty. The department document must:

• Contain the criteria and procedures for promotion and tenure;

• Define teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, consistent with the mission of the department, including criteria for developing a national reputation and an established national reputation;

• Specify criteria for excellence, satisfactory, and unsatisfactory as it pertains to the evaluation of candidates for promotion and/or tenure;

• Determine the structure of the department promotion and tenure committee;

• Specify the criteria for eligibility of full-time faculty to serve on the department promotion and tenure committee;

• Describe any specifics, including any uniqueness, of the department or school in which the individual is to be tenured.

Both the recommendation of the departmental committee and that of the Department Head will be conveyed to both the Dean and the promotion and tenure committee of the COE.

Procedures Related to Hiring and Annual Faculty Evaluation and Review

 At the time of initial appointment, each faculty member will be informed in writing by the Department Head or unit administrator whether the appointment is tenure-track or professional-track. The faculty member will be given copies the department and COE promotion and/or tenure policies. The new faculty member should respond in writing that they understand and agree with the terms of employment.

During the probationary period, the Department Head will counsel each faculty member annually about progress toward promotion and/or tenure. This annual evaluation will include

1) a written review of the previous calendar year's progress;

2) a written agreement about the faculty member's objectives, responsibilities, and expectations for the coming calendar year; and,

 3) the Department Head's assessment of progress toward tenure (if applicable).

The written agreement about the coming year must be consistent with the promotion and/or tenure criteria of the department, the college, and the university. If the Department Head and the faculty member cannot reach agreement, the matter will be referred to the Dean or Director. The annual evaluation, signed by both parties, will be sent to the Dean or Director. A copy will be placed in the faculty member's personnel file. The faculty member has the right to attach a dissenting statement to all copies of this evaluation.

College, school, or department promotion and tenure committees will consider, if submitted, but are not bound by, the Department Head's annual review of a candidate's progress toward tenure or promotion.

Prior to the offer of hire, the appropriate promotion and tenure committee will make a formal recommendation about:

- The initial appointment of a faculty member or administrator at the level of Rank 2 or Rank 3;
- The acceptance of experience as the equivalent of a terminal degree; and
- The acceptance of years of credit at another institution of higher education toward fulfillment of the minimum probationary period for tenure.

Procedures for Application for Promotion and/or Tenure

A faculty member who intends to apply for promotion and/or tenure should notify their Department Head of their intention by April 1. The applicant makes a formal application for promotion and/or tenure by completing the MSU Promotion and Tenure Application form and attaching supporting documentation. Each unit will specify the format and the level of detail for the supporting documentation. A faculty member who wants to be considered for promotion and/or tenure should submit his/her application to the Department Head no later than October 1st or the nearest working day after October 1st. The Department Head has the responsibility to assist, where appropriate, the faculty member in preparing materials for tenure and promotion review. Departments may set earlier deadlines for submission of an application for promotion and tenure. The Department Head must solicit external reviews for the applicant.

The candidate should organize their promotion and tenure portfolio to include the following:

- 1) completed promotion and tenure application (outlines from the Provost's Office);
- 2) curriculum vitae;

3) documentation of teaching effectiveness, such as teaching evaluations from courses taught at Mississippi State University, course outlines or syllabi, letters from students, other relevant materials;

- 4) documentation of research and/or creative achievements, such as published manuscripts (if applicable);
- 5) documentation of service; and,
- 6) external letters of review.

Items 1, 2, and 6 in the above list are required. The other items are recommended but optional. Up to five example publications and/or creative achievements may be included (if applicable) but should be packaged separately.

The candidate can add new material (*e.g.*, papers accepted for publication or proposals funded) to his/her application package after the departmental submission deadline; however, no material may be added or removed from the application package file after a decision has been made at the department level, unless the applicant, Department Head, and the departmental committee agree.

After submission of the application, the candidate customarily takes no further part in the process until a decision has been announced by the President. No discussion of correspondence relating to the application is to be initiated by the candidate with the reviewing authorities. Deliberation at all levels must be confidential. The faculty member has the right to discontinue the review process for promotion and/or tenure at any point before a decision has been made. Their request must be made in writing to the department head or director before a final decision has been rendered.

The Department Head should submit all application materials to the chair of the departmental promotion and tenure committee. The departmental promotion and tenure committee reviews the application materials and makes recommendation to the Department Head. The Department Head must forward to the Dean of the COE a portfolio for each candidate consisting of materials as defined in the university promotion and tenure document by November 15th. The candidate will be officially notified of the disposition of the application at each level of the process. The written recommendations made at each level in the process will be provided to the candidate, and placed on file by the Department Head, Dean or Director, and Provost. These recommendations will be the basis of future discussions of professional development between the faculty member and the Department Head.

Dossier Review

The department promotion and tenure committee will review the information in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier. The committee will make a recommendation on the question of promotion or promotion and tenure by a single vote evaluating the three areas (teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service) as a whole. The committee's recommendation will be based on a simple majority vote, conducted by secret ballot. The committee chair will submit a letter of recommendation and rationale to be included in the candidate's dossier. The candidate will receive a copy of the committee's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale shall characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the committee's decision. The letter of

recommendation and rationale of the committee will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process. The chair will notify the department head of the committee's recommendation.

The department head or director will separately and independently review the dossier. Their recommendations will be based on pertinent evidence documented in the faculty member's dossier and information in the personnel file that is applicable to the candidate's performance in professional activities. The candidate will receive a copy of the department head or director's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale shall characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the department head or director's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the department head or director will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The candidate may respond to the department promotion and tenure committee's and/or the department head or director's letters to correct any factual errors represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of each letter. The candidate's letter(s) of factual corrections must be sent to the review level to which the response was made. That level may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the application within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters shall be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The college promotion and tenure committee will review the information in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier. The committee will make a recommendation on the question of promotion or promotion and tenure by a single vote evaluating the three areas (teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service) as a whole. The committee's recommendation will be based on a simple majority vote, conducted by secret ballot. The committee chair will submit a letter of recommendation and rationale to be included in the candidate's dossier. The candidate will receive a copy of the college promotion and tenure committee's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale shall characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the committee's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the committee will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process. The candidate may respond to the college promotion and tenure committee's letter to correct any factual errors represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of the letter. The committee may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the dossier within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters shall be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The dean will review the dossier and make a recommendation based on pertinent evidence documented in the faculty member's promotion and tenure dossier and information in the personnel file that is applicable to the candidate's performance in professional activities. The candidate will receive a copy of the dean's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale shall characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the dean's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the dean will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process. The candidate may respond to the dean's letter to correct any factual errors

represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of the letter. The dean may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the dossier within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters shall be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The faculty member has the right to discontinue the review process for tenure or promotion at any point before a decision has been made. Their request must be made in writing to the department head or director before a final decision has been rendered.

Department and college committees on promotion and tenure will assist their department head or director and dean, respectively, in reviewing the eligibility of all faculty members who have met the minimum requirements for advancement in rank or tenure.

On rare occasions and in exceptional circumstances when a variation of the process described in this document needs to be initiated in order to be fair to the faculty member while still ensuring a rigorous review of the candidate's dossier, the University Promotion and Tenure Committee will review and approve any such appropriate requests during the review process. These approved variations of the process described by this paragraph cannot be the sole basis for an appeal.

Chronology

The receipt dates listed below for the department represent suggested guidelines intended to facilitate an organized and efficient review of candidates' dossiers during each official phase of the evaluation process. Minor chronological delays that may occur beyond these dates do not represent a significant procedural error. Departments may specify deadlines that are earlier, but not later, than those cited below.

On a date specified in the department promotion and tenure guidelines but no later than April 1, the candidate for tenure and/or promotion will notify the department head and the chair of the department promotion and tenure committee of their intent to submit their application for tenure and/or promotion. The department head has the responsibility to assist, where appropriate, the faculty member in preparing the application for tenure and promotion review.

By October 1 (or first working day thereafter), or earlier if specified in the department promotion and tenure document, a faculty member eligible for consideration for promotion and/or tenure must have provided the department head with all pertinent and available information to apply for consideration.

By **November 15** (or first working day thereafter), each faculty member's complete dossier will be provided to the college promotion and tenure committee. This will include letters of recommendation and rationale from both the department promotion and tenure committee and the department head. Each of these letters of recommendation and rationale will be copied to the candidate. The letters will be redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. These letters must include a summary of the procedures followed by the academic unit in evaluating the candidate and the committee's and head's independent evaluation of the candidate's teaching effectiveness, research and/or creative achievement, and service to the profession and university. The chair of the college promotion and tenure committee is responsible for inserting letters of recommendation and rationale from the

department head and the department promotion and tenure committee, along with any letters related to correction of factual errors at the department level, into the dossier of each candidate reviewed by the college promotion and tenure committee.

By **December 15** (or first working day thereafter), the college promotion and tenure committee's letter of recommendation and rationale for each candidate shall be sent to the college dean. Letters of recommendation and rationale shall be copied to the candidate. The letters will be redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The letter concerning each candidate must include the committee's summary of the procedures followed by the college committee in evaluating the candidate and the committee's evaluation of the candidate's teaching effectiveness, research and/or creative achievement, and service to the profession and university. The college promotion and tenure committee chair is responsible to provide the dean with each candidate's dossier including letters from previous stages of review. For each candidate, the dean is responsible for collection and inclusion of any letters related to correction of factual errors at the college level.

By January 15 (or first working day thereafter), the dean's letter of recommendation and rationale for each candidate shall be sent to the provost and copied to the candidate. The letter concerning each candidate must include the dean's evaluation of the candidate's teaching effectiveness, research and/or creating achievement, and service to the profession and university. The dean is responsible to provide the provost with each candidate's dossier including letters from previous stages of review. Copies of publications, works of art, etc., will be included only if specifically requested by the provost.

By March 10 (or first working day thereafter), the provost will have reviewed each candidate's dossier and will make a recommendation to the university president. Copies of the provost's recommendation will be sent to the candidate with copies to the dean, department head, and chairs of college and department promotion and tenure committees.

The university president will review the recommendation of the provost and will decide to accept or reject that recommendation. The university president will transmit that decision, together with reasons for a negative decision, to the faculty member directly, with copies to the dean, department head, and chairs of college and department promotion and tenure committees. The decision to award tenure is made by the university president. All judgments made at lower levels of the university are recommendations to the university president

Appeals

Faculty members who have been denied promotion or tenure may, within ten working days of the notification of the decision from the President, request an appeal hearing before the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure. The request must be made through the Provost who will forward the request to the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure. Grounds for requesting an appeal are:

- 1. That the decision was prejudiced, arbitrary, or capricious.
- 2. That the promotion and tenure procedures contained in this document were not properly followed.

For additional information regarding the appeal process, one should refer to the university promotion and tenure policies and procedures.

Post-Tenure Review (see OP 01.21)

The faculty and the administration of Mississippi State University recognize the importance of encouraging all professors to maintain appropriate levels of productivity in teaching, research, and service. Accordingly, evaluation of the performance of the faculty does not cease with the granting of tenure but continues with formal annual assessments of all components of a professor's assignment.

The granting of tenure is the academic community's chief guarantee of academic freedom – both the freedom of the teacher to teach and the freedom of the researcher to research without undue or inappropriate intramural and extramural pressures. Thus, it is ultimately a guarantee of the student's freedom to learn. Nothing in this procedure should be construed as an attempt to alter the contractual relationship between the professor and the university or to alter the nature of tenure as traditionally conceived and legally defined in the American academic community. Nor is this procedure intended as a mechanism for re-evaluating or re-validating the granting of tenure. Thus, a tenured professor cannot be required to remake his or her case for tenure or otherwise to reassume the burden of proof that he or she bore in the original tenure proceedings. This procedure is intended solely for assessing cases in which a tenured professor's level of performance may have decreased over a sustained period and for exploring ways in which that level of performance might be improved by a mutually agreed-on plan of development. This procedure is not disciplinary and thus is not appropriate for reviewing cases of alleged malfeasance, dereliction, contumacy, or criminality.

Procedures

Comprehensive annual evaluations are conducted in the academic unit (in most cases, the department) in which the professor resides. These evaluations are annually reviewed by the dean. It is customary and appropriate that these evaluations lead to rewards or sanctions in the form of raises, assignments, and material or financial support for research.

In every sixth year following the granting of tenure or following the most recent post-tenure promotion, the five most recent annual reviews (complete with all faculty responses to each annual review) for each tenured professor will again be reviewed by the dean to determine whether there is cumulative prima facie evidence of low performance. In this context, cumulative prima facie evidence of low performance is a rating equal to, or less than unsatisfactory overall in at least 3 of the 5 most recent annual evaluations detected during a post-tenure review. The dean may also conduct such a review at any point within this period when routine review of annual evaluations suggests a sustained pattern (normally three years) of low performance, or when other evidence suggests a marked decline in performance.

Once a dean has determined that there is prima facie evidence of low performance, he or she shall ask the tenured faculty of the professor's academic unit, holding rank at or above the level of that professor, to empanel a post-tenure review committee, including at least one professor from outside the department, according to its own procedures. The committee will conduct an informal investigation to determine whether there is evidence of low productivity. It will follow procedures established by the tenured faculty of the department, interviewing the professor, the

department head, and any other parties whose assistance it considers relevant. The committee will have the same access to university records as is granted to the University Promotion and Tenure Committee.

Faculty productivity must be measured as a function of qualitative and quantitative criteria that goes beyond simply the numerical tabulation of instructional course hours, annual rate of manuscript publication in peer-reviewed journals, time devoted to service work assignments, and amounts of extramural grant funding awarded. Evaluation of faculty productivity must be addressed in an appropriate context as a function of work assignment partitioning within each of the academic missions and correlations made with relevant parameters including professional training and specific field of specialization.

If the committee finds that there is insufficient evidence of low performance or that there is evidence of insufficiently recognized merit, it will report all of these findings to the dean. If the committee finds that there is sufficient evidence of low performance, then it will report to the dean all of these findings including but not limited to those which may be provided by the faculty member any specific causes or reasons that may explain declines in faculty productivity. The committee will also meet with the professor and the department head to formulate a mutually acceptable plan of development to extend over 1-to-3 years. Such a plan may include re-structuring of the professor's workload assignments, enhancement of administrative support (e.g., supplement resource allocation), re-training, or other arrangements that could potentially re-stimulate or re-focus the professor's energies.

The post-tenure review committee will monitor the success of the development plan over its planned duration and will render progress reports to the dean at least annually. At the end of the development period (or earlier if performance has been raised to the level the committee targeted), the committee will report its conclusions to the dean.

If, at the end of the development period, the administration believes that a tenured faculty member's level of performance is so low that continued employment would be a detriment to the university's mission, then it is appropriate for it to institute formal dismissal hearings, under the authority of Policy 401.0102 of the Board of Trustees, Institutions of Higher Learning. In the case of termination of a tenured faculty member under the guidelines of this Post-Tenure Review policy, the faculty member will be informed in writing of the proposed action against him/her and that he/she has the opportunity to be heard in his/her own defense. Within ten (10) calendar days of notification of the proposed action, the faculty member will state in writing his/her desire to have a hearing. He/she will be permitted to have with him/her an adviser of his/her own choosing who may be an attorney. If an attorney is to be the adviser, the MSU Office of General Counsel is to be notified as soon as the faculty member makes known his/her intention to have a hearing. Failure to notify MSU of the intent to have an attorney present as an adviser will result in the postponement of the meeting for seven (7) calendar days. The institution shall record (suitable for transcription) all hearings. In the hearing of charges of incompetence, the testimony will include that of faculty and other scholars. Tenured faculty members who are dismissed will have their contracts terminated at any time subsequent to notice and hearing with no right to continued employment for any period of time. At the discretion of the Institutional Executive Officer, any faculty member's salary may be paid, and he/she may be relieved of all teaching duties, assignments, appointments, and privileges when he/she is dismissed.

Dismissal of Tenured Faculty

Termination of service of a tenured faculty member is made only under these extraordinary circumstances (as outlined in IHL Board Policy 403.0104):

- Financial exigencies as declared by the Board;
- Termination or reduction of programs, academic or administrative units as approved by the Board;
- Malfeasance, inefficiency, or contumacious conduct; or
- For legitimate and justifiable cause.

Termination for cause of a tenured faculty member or the dismissal for cause of a faculty member prior to the expiration of a term appointment will not be recommended by the institutional executive officer until the faculty member has been afforded the opportunity for a hearing. In no event will the contract of a tenured faculty member be terminated for cause without the faculty member being afforded the opportunity for a hearing.

In all cases, the faculty member will be informed in writing of the proposed action against them and that they have the opportunity to be heard in their own defense. Within ten (10) working days from the date of the university president's decision, the faculty member will state in writing their desire to have a hearing. They will be permitted to have with them an adviser of their own choosing who may be an attorney. The institution is directed to record (suitable for transcription) all hearings. In the hearing of charges of incompetence, the testimony will include that of faculty and other scholars.

Tenured faculty members, who are dismissed for financial exigencies or termination or reduction of program, academic or administrative units will remain employed for a minimum of 9 to 12 months, consistent with current contract periods of time, from date of notification. Tenured faculty members, who are dismissed for malfeasance, inefficiency, contumacious conduct or for a legitimate and justifiable cause will have their contracts terminated at any time subsequent to notice and hearing with no right to continued employment for any period of time. At the discretion of the Institutional Executive Officer, any faculty member's salary may be paid, and they may be relieved of all teaching duties, assignments, appointments and privileges when they are dismissed for any reasons stated above or pending a termination hearing.